

A  
and 6D 61 63 72 6F 73 76 08. A fourth set of suspect instruction identifiers includes the strings 12 6C 01 00 and 64 67 C2 80 6A 0F 47 and a fifth set of suspect instruction identifiers includes the strings 79 7C 66 6F 72 6D 61 74 20 63 6A and 80 05 6A 07 43 4F 4D.--

IN THE CLAIMS

Please change Claim 8 to be dependent on Claim 4.

Claim 9, line 3, delete "repaired" and insert --treated--.

Please change Claim 23 to be dependent on Claim 16.

REMARKS

Claims 1 to 35 are pending in this case. Claims 23, 27, 29 and 34 are objected to and the remaining claims rejected.

First, Claims 8, 9, 10, 11, and 23 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2nd paragraph, as being indefinite. Claim 8 has been amended to change its dependency and Claim 9 amended, therefore this rejection is overcome. The dependency of Claim 23 is changed to provide proper antecedent basis.

The Examiner also rejected Claims 13, 14, 20, 22, 28 and 30 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 first paragraph. The specification has been amended specifically to conform to original Figure 9 and also to original Claims 13 and 14 which is, as a matter of law, a portion of the disclosure. Hence these amendments to the specification are well supported by the originally filed Application and overcome this rejection.

Claims 1-8, 10-12, 15-19, 21, 24-26, 31-33 and 35 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as unpatentable over Bontchev and Arnold et al.